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#### Abstract

We propose a novel design method for 3D origami consisting of triangular facets with an axisymmetric structure. Our method interactively designs a rotationally-symmetric crease pattern and then analytically calculates the 3D origami with real-time human interaction. The proposed method enables us to change one parameter to axisymmetrically deform the 3D origami while preserving its developability. By changing another parameter, our method leads to a way of folding a 3D origami called "along-arc flat-folding". By using our prototype system, we interactively explore various origami designs before actually making them. Several 3D origami pieces and folding sequences are presented to demonstrate the validity.
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## 1. Introduction

Origami, the art of folding a piece of paper into a three-dimensional (3D) form, has received much attention in geometry, mathematics, and engineering. In recent years, several approaches for designing 3D origami have been proposed, and many creative origami pieces have been generated. In this paper, we propose a novel 3D origami design method for a new category of 3D origami consisting of triangular facets with an axisymmetric structure. Our method interactively designs a rotationally-symmetric crease pattern (i.e., a set of creases on a surface that defines the structure of an origami piece) and then analytically calculates the 3D origami with real-time human interaction.

Specifically, we first design the right part of the $1 / N$ part ( $N=8$ for this example) of the whole crease pattern (Figure 1a), where $N$ indicates the order of rotational symmetry $(N>2)$ and the angle $\theta$ is determined by $N$, i.e., $\theta=180^{\circ} / N$. After the $1 / N$ part has been specified, we generate the rotationally-symmetric crease pattern (Figure 1b) by repeating such


Figure 1: Overview of our method.
part $N-1$ times around the origin. Next, we calculate the 3D origami (Figure 1c) from the crease pattern. Here, we first calculate the $1 / N$ part of the 3 D origami from its crease pattern together with the user-specified angle $\varphi$ between edge $P_{0} P_{1}$ and the $z$-axis. Note that $P_{i}$ and $P_{i}^{\prime}$ (with even indices) has a planar symmetry with respect to a plane through the $z$-axis and $P_{i}$ (with odd indices). Then, the axisymmetric structure of the 3D origami can be achieved by iteratively rotating its $1 / N$ part about the $z$-axis. Finally, based on the generated 3D model, we determine the mountain and valley assignments on the crease pattern, which are used to fold an origami piece (Figure 1d).

We analyze the effects of variations by changing two parameters. By changing the angle $\varphi$ and keeping the crease pattern fixed, we can axisymmetrically deform the 3D origami while preserving its developability. This enables us to figure out a folding motion from the foldstate to the flat-state of such a 3D origami (Section 5.1). By changing another parameter, our method leads to a way of folding a 3D origami called "along-arc flat-folding" based on the flat-foldability of each interior point verified by Kawasaki's theorem [4] and Maekawa's theorem [3] (Section 5.2). By using our prototype system, we interactively explore various origami designs before actually making them. Several 3D origami pieces and folding sequences are presented.

## 2. Related work

In recent years, origami has advanced significantly both in quantity and complexity based on the development of mathematical theories and more powerful computational resources [13, 2].

TreeMaker is software used to design flat-foldable origami [5]. This software generates the crease pattern from a graph tree that represents the base structure of the object by using the circle/river packing technique. Tess is a computer program that can make crease patterns for origami tessellations that involve twist folds in a repeating pattern [1]. These approaches focus on flat-foldable origami, but we are aiming at making 3D origami with a set of triangular facets.

The Origamizer algorithm, created by TACHI [12], is a very general approach that can generate a crease pattern for an arbitrary 3D triangular mesh model with topological disc condition. The basic idea is to place clearances between each polygon of the unfolded pattern and construct flaps from them. This method generates a crease pattern where the "tucks" are placed in the empty spaces so that the target 3D shape can be made from a single sheet of paper without requiring any cutting [10]. Origamizer can handle 3D rotational shapes; the
appearance of the folded origami piece is equivalent to the target shape, but the generated pattern might be overly complicated for a simple model.

Mitani proposed a method for designing 3D origami based on rotational sweep [6] that generates a simpler crease pattern. Another of his methods [7] can generate 3D shapes that have 3D tucks with a triangular cross section. Although the outer flags might be considered obtrusive, his methods succeed in generating 3D curved origami from simple crease patterns. His method [8], which combines the advantages of the rotational sweep and mirror reflection approaches, has been used to build geometrically attractive origami pieces. Even though these methods can handle the axisymmetric structure of origami, it is difficult for them to handle the axisymmetric 3D origami consisting of triangular facets (Figures 1 and 10).

The interactive system is a creative and explorative tool for designers in computer-aided design modeling and in 3D origami design. Tachi [11] proposed a design system where the user can intuitively vary a Miura-ori pattern in 3D while preserving the developability and other optional conditions inherent in the origami pattern. Another interactive system proposed by Mitani and Igarashi [9] allows the user to design 3D curved origami surfaces by using mirror operation with selecting and moving a vertex on the 3D origami while maintaining the developability of the resulting shape. In our work, the system implementing our method interactively designs a rotationally-symmetric crease pattern and then analytically calculates the 3 D origami with real-time human interaction.

## 3. Designing crease pattern

In this section, we describe a rotationally-symmetric crease pattern consisting of triangular facets. Figure 2 a shows a $1 / N$ part of the crease pattern, and Figure 2b shows the shape in 3D space. The symbols in Figure 2 are as follows:

- Planes $\Pi_{1}$ and $\Pi_{2}$ are vertical planes whose intersecting lines with the horizontal plane (i.e., the $x y$-plane) are lines $l_{1}$ and $l_{2}$, respectively.
- $P_{0}$ is located at the origin in 3D space and expressed as $p_{0}$, indicating the intersection point of lines $l_{1}$ and $l_{2}$ on the horizontal plane.
- $\theta$ is the angle between lines $l_{1}$ and $l_{2}$, which equals $180^{\circ} / N$, and expressed as $\Theta$ in 3D space, indicating the angle between planes $\Pi_{1}$ and $\Pi_{2}$.
- $P_{1}$ and $P_{3}$ (with odd indices) lie on the plane $\Pi_{1}$ and represent $p_{1}$ and $p_{3}$ along line $l_{1}$ in the crease pattern, respectively.
- $P_{2}$ and $P_{4}$ (with even indices) lie on the plane $\Pi_{2}$ and represent $p_{2}$ and $p_{4}$ along line $l_{2}$ in the crease pattern, respectively.
- $P_{2}^{\prime}$ and $P_{4}^{\prime}$ (denoted as $p_{2}^{\prime}$ and $p_{4}^{\prime}$ in the crease pattern) are the symmetric points of $P_{2}$ and $P_{4}$ with respect to plane $\Pi_{1}$, respectively.
Note that $p_{i}$ and $p_{i}^{\prime}$ (with even indices) have a symmetry with respect to the line $l_{1}$.
The rotationally-symmetric crease pattern can be interactively designed. Specifically, as shown in Figure 2a, the $\theta$ in the crease pattern can be changed by $N(N>2)$. Point $p_{i}(i>0)$ can be added or deleted along lines $l_{1}$ and $l_{2}$. Furthermore, $p_{i}(i>0)$ can be moved along lines $l_{1}$ or $l_{2}$. After the right part is specified, the symmetric points with respect to line $l_{1}$ are calculated. Finally, as shown in Figure 2c, the whole crease pattern is generated by repeating the $1 / N$ part around the origin $N-1$ times by $2 \theta$. The mountain and valley assignments are not determined until the 3D model is generated (Section 4.2).


Figure 2: Designing rotationally-symmetric crease pattern consisting of triangular facets.

## 4. Calculation of each 3 D point

In this section, we describe a method to calculate each point on the 3D origami based on the crease pattern (using the example shown in Figure 1). $P_{0}$ is located at the origin in 3D space. Each 3D $P_{i}(i>0)$ is calculated sequentially in the order of its index. In Section 4.1, we describe the calculation of $P_{1}$ separately because a user-specified angle $\varphi$ is needed. In Section 4.2, the calculation of $P_{i}(i>1)$ is described. In Section 4.3, a special case during calculation is given.

### 4.1. Calculation of $\mathrm{P}_{1}$

To calculate the 3D coordinates of $P_{1}$, the following constraints should be satisfied:

1. The distance between $P_{1}$ and $P_{0}$ should be the same as the length of edge $p_{1} p_{0}$ in the crease pattern.
2. $P_{1}$ should lie on the plane $\Pi_{1}$.
3. Angle $\varphi\left(0^{\circ} \leq \varphi \leq 180^{\circ}\right)$ between line $P_{0} P_{1}$ and the $z$-axis should be the same as the user-specified value.
Figure 3 shows the process for calculating $P_{1}$. Firstly, considering constraint 1), the possible solutions for $P_{1}$ in 3D space lie on the red sphere (Figure 3a) whose center is $P_{0}$ and radius equals the length of edge $p_{1} p_{0}$, which is measured from the crease pattern (Figure 1a). Secondly, considering constraint 2), the possible solutions are shown as a red solution circle (Figure 3 b and c ), which is the intersection between the red sphere and plane $\Pi_{1}$. Finally, by specifying the angle $\varphi$ between line $P_{0} P_{1}$ and the $z$-axis, the 3D coordinates of $P_{1}$ are determined. Figure 3b and c show the solution of $P_{1}$ with $\varphi=66^{\circ}$ and $\varphi=140^{\circ}$, respectively. The angle $\varphi$ is set to $66^{\circ}$ and fixed throughout the subsequent calculation of the remaining 3D points.

### 4.2. Calculation of $\mathbf{P}_{i}(i>1)$

In the sequential calculation of $P_{i}$, the 3D coordinates of $P_{i-1}$ and $P_{i-2}$ are required. For calculating $P_{i}(i>1)$, the following three constraints should be satisfied:


Figure 3: Calculation of $P_{1}$.

1. The distance between $P_{i}$ and $P_{i-1}$ should be the same as the length of edge $p_{i} p_{i-1}$ in the crease pattern.
2. The distance between $P_{i}$ and $P_{i-2}$ should be the same as the length of edge $p_{i} p_{i-2}$ in the crease pattern.
3. $P_{i}$ should lie on the plane $\Pi_{1}$ (for odd index) or $\Pi_{2}$ (for even index).

For generating $P_{i}(i=2)$ (Figure 4), the 3D coordinates of $P_{1}$ and $P_{0}$ are required. In Figures 4a and c, we first consider constraint 1) between $P_{i}$ and $P_{i-1}$ (i.e., $P_{2}$ and $P_{1}$ in this example). The possible solutions for $P_{2}$ in 3D space lie on a sphere, called a solution sphere, whose center is $P_{1}$ and radius equals the length of edge $p_{2} p_{1}$. Then, by considering constraint 3), the solution circle shown in red is obtained from the previous solution sphere intersected by plane $\Pi_{2}$. Next, by considering constraint 2) between $P_{i}$ and $P_{i-2}$ (i.e., $P_{2}$ and $P_{0}$ ) and constraint 3), we obtain the solution circle shown in green on plane $\Pi_{2}$ whose center is $P_{0}$ and radius equals the length of edge $p_{2} p_{0}$. Finally, the two intersection points between the two solution circles (the red one and the green one) that satisfy all the constraints at the same time are selected as two candidate solutions for $P_{i}(i=2)$. For the solution of $P_{2}$ (with even index), the symmetric point $P_{2}^{\prime}$ with respect to plane $\Pi_{1}$ is calculated. After the $1 / N$ part of the 3 D origami is specified, the axisymmetric 3 D origami is generated by iteratively rotating


Figure 4: Calculation of $P_{i}(i=2)$.


Figure 5: Calculation of $P_{i}(i=3)$.
its $1 / N$ part about the $z$-axis through $2 \Theta$, as shown in Figures 4 b and d, respectively.
The calculation process of $P_{i}(i=3)$, which lies on plane $\Pi_{1}$, is shown in Figure 5. By satisfying all the constraints, the two intersection points of the two solution circles on plane $\Pi_{1}$ are selected as candidate solutions for $P_{i}(i=3)$ (Figures 5a and c).

For designing various shapes of 3D origami, either candidate can be selected as the solution of $P_{3}$ (Figures 5b and d). However, one solution could flatten two connected facets (Figure 5d); thus, the crease lines between such flattened facets are rendered in green.

We also show the calculation for $P_{i}(i=4)$, which lies on plane $\Pi_{2}$, with the constraints from $P_{3}$ and $P_{2}$ (Figure 6).

The shape (Figure 6b) is the 3D model introduced in Figure 1c. We then determine the mountain and valley assignments on a 3D model and then translate them to the crease pattern (Figure 1b) to fold the origami piece (Figure 1d).


Figure 6: Calculation of $P_{i}(i=4)$

### 4.3. Special Case in Calculation of $\mathrm{P}_{i}(i>1)$

The candidate solutions for each $P_{i}(i>1)$ are two intersection points of the two solution circles as described in Section 4.2. A special case occurs when the two solution circles are identical. Then, the candidate solutions for $P_{i}(i>1)$ are not just two points but all the points along the solution circle.

Figure 7 shows one example of the special case for calculating $P_{3}$, where $\varphi=90^{\circ}$ and line $P_{2} P_{1}$ is vertical to plane $\Pi_{1}$. In such a case, the solution circle shown in red is constructed


Figure 7: Special case in calculation.
by points that satisfy constraints 1) and 3). The other solution circle shown in green is constructed by the points that satisfy constraint 2) and 3). Both circles share the same center $P_{1}$ and have the same radius, which is the length of edge $p_{3} p_{1}$. As a result, the candidate solutions for $P_{3}$ in this case are not just two points but all the points along the solution circle. In Figure 7, $P_{3}$ can be selected arbitrarily on the solution circle to design various 3D origami.

## 5. Effects on geometry

In this section, we analyze the effects of variations by changing the parameters including angle $\varphi$ (Section 5.1) and angle $\Theta$ (Section 5.2).

### 5.1. Changing angle $\varphi$

The 3D origimi is a developable surface, which means that it is isometric to a planar shape, i.e., the distance within the surface between any two points is equal to the distance between the corresponding points in the plane. When angle $\varphi$ is changed continuously, the shape of our 3D origami is also changed continuously while retaining its developablility. We can recalculate the shape efficiently due to the following reasons:

1. The change in angle $\varphi$ only affects $P_{1}$ directly.
2. Each subsequent $P_{i}(i>1)$ is to be recalculated sequentially, which means $P_{i}$ is not recalculated until the previous $P_{i-1}$ and $P_{i-2}$ have been recalculated.
3. Each $P_{i}(i>1)$ is recalculated based on $P_{i-1}$ and $P_{i-2}$, which have already been recalculated.
The user can explore various origami models by only changing angle $\varphi$. Figure 8 shows some possible origami models. We set $\varphi$ to $66^{\circ}$ (Figure 8b) to obtain the shape we introduced in Figure 1c. Figure 8d shows that the 3D origami can be completely unfolded just the same as the 2 D crease pattern. With angle $\varphi$ set from $66^{\circ}$ to $90^{\circ}$, we can figure out a continuous folding motion that shows the change from the fold-state to the unfold-state of such a 3D origami. Although $\varphi$ can theoretically be from $0^{\circ}$ to $180^{\circ}$, penetration between triangular facets could happen at some values of $\varphi$ (Figures 8a, f, and g). We leave it up to the user in the designing process to avoid such illegal values of $\varphi$ to generate real-world origami pieces.


Figure 8: Various origami designs obtained by changing $\varphi$ from $0^{\circ}$ to $180^{\circ}$ : (a) $\varphi=0^{\circ}$, (b) $\varphi=66^{\circ}$, (c) $\varphi=83^{\circ}$, (d) $\varphi=90^{\circ}$, (e) $\varphi=97^{\circ}$, (f) $\varphi=135^{\circ}$, (g) $\varphi=180^{\circ}$.


Figure 9: Preparation for along-arc flat-folding.

### 5.2. Changing angle $\Theta$

$\Theta$ denotes the angle between planes $\Pi_{1}$ and $\Pi_{2}$ in 3D space, which equals $180^{\circ} / N$. After the $1 / N$ part of the origami model is calculated, the axisymmetric origami model is generated by iteratively rotating its $1 / N$ part about the $z$-axis $N-1$ times through $2 \Theta$.

In this section, we decrease $\Theta$, expressed as $\Theta^{\prime}$, from $180^{\circ} / N$ to $0^{\circ}$. By inserting an extra boundary line in the crease pattern, we keep the property of developablility of the 3D model during the decreasing of angle $\Theta^{\prime}$. Then, we verify the flat-foldability of each interior point on the crease pattern by Kawasaki's theorem [4] and Maekawa's theorem [3], leading to a way of folding called "along-arc flat-folding".

Specifically, first we decrease $\Theta$ to $\Theta^{\prime}$ and then use $\Theta^{\prime}$ to generate the $1 / N$ part of the origami model. Note that such a $1 / N$ part still maintains a developable surface as each 3 D edge is equivalent to the corresponding edge on the flat plane (crease pattern).

Then, we iteratively rotate the $1 / N$ part about the $z$-axis $N-1$ times through $2 \Theta^{\prime}$ to generate the whole origami model (Figure 9a). Note that the last $1 / N$ part is separated from the first $1 / N$ part, which breaks the developable property of the 3 D model. In this situation, we insert a boundary line in the crease pattern (Figure 9 b ) to maintain the developable property of the whole 3D model.

The process of decreasing angle $\Theta^{\prime}$ makes $P_{i}$ (with even indices) on plane $\Pi_{2}$ together with the symmetric $P_{i}^{\prime}$ fall towards plane $\Pi_{1}$. For the whole 3 D model, such a process compresses the 3D origami towards plane $\Pi_{1}$. Here, we check the flat-foldability of the 3D origami by verifying the flat-foldability of each interior point using Kawasaki's theorem and Maekawa's theorem. Kawasaki's theorem gives a criterion for an origami construction to be flat, which states that a given crease pattern can be folded to a flat origami iff all the sequences of angles $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{2 n}$ surrounding each interior vertex fulfill the following condition: $\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{3}+\cdots+\alpha_{2 n-1}=\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{4}+\cdots+\alpha_{2 n}=180^{\circ}$. Maekawa's theorem is another criterion, which states that the numbers of mountains and valleys always differ by 2 . Kawasaki's theorem and Maekawa's theorem are two local flat-foldable conditions.

In Figure 9c, without loss of generality, we verify the flat-foldability of the interior points by showing the details of the crease pattern around $P_{1}$ and $P_{4}$, which lie on the planes $\Pi_{1}$ and $\Pi_{2}$, respectively. Angle $\alpha_{i, k}$ denotes the $k$-th incident sector angle of $p_{i}$. For $P_{1}$, since $\alpha_{1,1}=\alpha_{1,2}$ and $\alpha_{1,3}=\alpha_{1,4}$ and thus $\alpha_{1,1}+\alpha_{1,3}=\alpha_{1,2}+\alpha_{1,4}=180^{\circ}$, which satisfies Kawasaki's theorem. Also, since the number of mountain lines (3) minus the number of valley lines (1) equals 2, Maekawa's theorem is satisfied. Similarly, for $P_{4}$, since $\alpha_{4,1}=\alpha_{4,2}, \alpha_{4,3}=\alpha_{4,6}$ and $\alpha_{4,4}=\alpha_{4,5}$, and thus $\alpha_{4,1}+\alpha_{4,3}+\alpha_{4,5}=\alpha_{4,2}+\alpha_{4,4}+\alpha_{4,6}=180^{\circ}$, which satisfies Kawasaki's theorem. Also, since the number of mountain lines (4) minus the number of valley lines (2) equals 2, Maekawa's theorem is satisfied. If all interior points satisfy Kawasaki's theorem and Maekawa's theorem, we can decrease $\Theta^{\prime}$ to $0^{\circ}$ to fold the whole 3D model around the $z$-axis (Figure 13b), which is a way of folding called "along-arc flat-folding".

## 6. Results

We show several resulting origami pieces in Figure 10 and Figure 12, where the first column is the crease pattern, the second column is the 3 D model, and the third column is the photo of the origami piece. Figure 10 shows the 3D origami pieces constructed with triangular facets. In Figure 11, we show the folding motion of the origami (Figure 10) from the fold-state to the flat-state by changing parameter $\varphi$.

By applying the special case, we design origami pieces that have both a flat center area


Figure 10: Resulting origami pieces with triangular facets.


Figure 11: Flat-folding motion.
and triangular facets, as shown in Figure 12. Figure 13 shows the "along-arc flat-folding" of the 3D origami shown in Figures 10b and c. The photo of the real origami pieces and the folded shapes are shown in Figure 14.

## 7. Conclusion

We have described a design method for a new category of 3D origami consisting of triangular facets with an axisymmetric structure. We focused on the axisymmetric property to introduce


Figure 12: Resulting origami pieces with flat center area and triangular facets.


Figure 13: Along-arc flat-folding sequences.
a rotationally-symmetric crease pattern and then described the details of the calculation of each point on the 3D origami. For the calculation of $P_{i}(i>1)$, the two intersection points of the solution circles were selected as solution candidates. Each of them was used to create different 3D models. During the calculation, we found a special case where the two solution circles are identical; thus, the candidate solutions are not two points but all the points on


Figure 14: Along-arc flat-folding of real origami pieces.
the solution circle. We have applied the special case in designing origami pieces with a flat center.

We analyzed the effects of variations by changing two parameters: angle $\varphi$ and $\Theta$. First, we changed $\varphi$, which is the angle between the edge $P_{0} P_{1}$ and the $z$-axis. The benefit of the process of generating the 3 D origami is, for each updated $\varphi$, the updated model remains developability. This enables us to explore various origami designs and figure out a folding motion from the fold-state to the flat-state of such a 3 D origami. Next, we introduced a way of folding called "along-arc flat-folding" by changing the value of $\Theta$, which is the angle between planes $\Pi_{1}$ and $\Pi_{2}$, from $180^{\circ} / N$ to $0^{\circ}$. To keep consistency between the crease pattern and the 3D model during the process of decreasing $\Theta$, we inserted a boundary line in the crease pattern. Then, we checked the flat-foldability of the 3D origami by verifying the flat-foldability of each interior point using Kawasaki's theorem and Maekawa's theorem. We showed the "along-arc flat-folding" sequences and practiced such folding in real origami pieces. One future work is to use tuck folding technology to design axisymmetric 3D origami consisting of triangular facets.
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